

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,342 02/23/2004		02/23/2004	Norifumi Sata	MAT-8508US	2342
23122	7590	12/11/2006	•	EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980				HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980		A 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		· ·	2612	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			<v< th=""></v<>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/784,342	SATA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edwin C. Holloway, III	2612	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports and the second section apports.	pears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence addres	is
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu	
Status				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2004.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	•		rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
-	⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. ,,,,,,,,		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	n received in this National Stag	је
	application from the International Burea			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
	•			
•				
Attachmer	at(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)* er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/784,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

EXAMINER'S RESPONSE

1. In response to the application filed 2-23-04 and 0ath filed 6-14-04, the application has been examined. The examiner has considered the presentation of claims in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's opinion that the claims are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because Figures 6-8C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of

Art Unit: 2612

the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102 & 103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the

Art Unit: 2612

amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klein US 20030095156A1).

Regarding claim 1, Klein discloses a remote control transmitter with a display part 30, operating part 18/30, transmitter 22 and controller 14. The controller causes the display part to simultaneously display group menu 90,68,74 and individual functions 72 in fig. 4. Alternatively, icon 71 in fig. 5 represents a group menu for selecting different groups or pages of functions and/or functions may be shown as a pop-up window simultaneously with mode wheel 60 as shown in fig. 2.

Art Unit: 2612

See paragraphs 0023 - 0032. Regarding claim 3, the code wheel provides an apparatus menu and/or representative menu.

7. Claim 2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein US 20030095156A1) in combination with Arling (US006788241B2).

Klein shows generally spherically shaped buttons or keys 80 or 92. Klein shows soft keys representing macros in fig. 5.

Arling discloses an analogous art remote control transmitter with display of a group menu of macros and individual commands of the macro in fig 12i where all the commands of the macro are transmitted when the command is selected. Generally spherical buttons or hard keys may be used to navigate the GUI. See col. 3 line 32 - col. 5 line 49.

Regarding claim 2, transmitting all the functions of a group would have been obvious in view of the macros of Arling as suggested by the favorites in Klein including macros.

Regarding claims 5-6, spherical buttons would have been obvious in view of the buttons of Arling as an alternative to the touch screen for making selections. If the spherical shape is not clear, then the examiner takes official notice that spherical shaped buttons for PDA input is conventional in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,342

Art Unit: 2612

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein US 20030095156A1) in combination with Cove (US006266098B1).

Cove discloses an analogs art GUI for menu for an audio/video system with a rotatable function menus and submenus with selections organized on the outside of a cylinder. A three dimensional wheel shaped object is provided for greater flexibility. See cols. 1-2 and 3-6.

Regarding claim 4, menus and submenus with selections organized on the outside of a cylinder in view of Cove for greater flexibility and is suggested by the rotating wheel shaped menu of Klein.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Naghara (US005898435A) shows a cylindrical menu. Lenchik US005552806A

Goulden (US005956025A), Dresti (US 20030103088A1), and Sata (US 20040067778A1) disclose remote control transmitter GUI.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 2612

access to the Private PAIR system, contact an Electronic Business Center (EBC) representatives at 571-272-4100 or toll free at 1-866-217-9197 between the hours of 6 a.m. and midnight Monday through Friday EST, or by e-mail at ebc@uspto.gov. The Patent EBC is a complete customer service center that supports all Patent e-business products and service applications. Additional information is available on the Patent EBC Web site at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 receptionist at (571) 272-2600. Facsimile submissions may be sent via central fax number 571-273-8300 to customer service for entry by technical support staff. Questions related to the operation of the facsimile system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center.

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number. Inquiries concerning only hours and location of the Customer Window may be directed to OIPE Customer Service at (571) 272-4000

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin C. Holloway, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-3058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (571) 272-7308.

EH

12/6/06

EDWIN C. HOLLOWAY, III

PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2612